### **RESULTS FROM CSMW TASK 4**

(Offshore Materials for Beach Nourishment)

TASK 4 – Compile available information which identifies the presence of finegrained "mud belts", potential sand source areas, sandy and rocky bottom habitats in the offshore vicinity of potential beach nourishment locations.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The observation and mapping of the geologic materials on the ocean floor can lead to discovery of deposits of sand. Where of acceptable grain characteristics, volume, degree of consolidation, depth of submergence, and distance from shore, such deposits have been and will continue to be sources of material for beach replenishment/nourishment. The most desirable deposits are unconsolidated, have large volumes, are similar in physical character to the material on the receiving beaches, are in shallow water close to the receiving beaches, and are free of contaminants and debris. Also, mining of them would produce minimal environmental disturbance.

Typically, the identification and characterization of submarine geologic materials relies on both direct and indirect observation and measurement. Direct methods include visual observation, via submersible vehicles or cameras, and collection of samples through diving, dredging, or coring. Indirect methods include various geophysical techniques that can characterize the seafloor as well as the material beneath it. These data lead to maps and calculations that determine the locations, areal extents, volumes, and physical properties of the materials at and below the ocean floor. Furthermore, because of economic and technological limitations, the depth of sand deposits below the sea surface is of major interest, which requires reliable bathymetric measurements.

The identification and characterization of materials is also important for understanding and management of benthic habitat for marine organisms. The mapping of such habitats, which has become common in recent years, relies on the same techniques for exploration and characterization of sand deposits. Consequently, submarine geologic mapping and benthic habitat mapping are complementary and in some ways might be considered one and the same.

# OVERVIEW OF OFFSHORE GEOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA

The complex geology that makes up onshore coastal California continues offshore beneath the continental shelf. In contrast to the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts, the shelf off California is notably narrow and irregular, a reflection of the active geologic forces there. It is commonly dissected by submarine canyons and, in some places, is only 1-2

miles wide. In simplified view, offshore California is underlain by diverse types of bedrock covered or surrounded by mantles of unconsolidated sand, mud, and gravel.

Available geologic mapping of offshore California is spotty as to areal coverage and detail. Some areas have been intensively studied and mapped, while others have been covered only by limited reconnaissance. Generally, areas close to shore and near large harbors and population centers have received more attention than those near less-developed parts of the coast.

At the statewide level, there are two sets of published maps that cover the entire offshore length of the state. The first, by Welday and Williams (1975), portrays at a scale of 1:500,000 the surficial geology of the offshore, with the greatest detail limited generally to within five miles of the coastline. The strength of this map is that the authors interpreted geologic bottom-types based on thousands of direct and indirect geologic observations made by various organizations. Especially noteworthy was use by the authors of the many historic observations of bottom type made during a suite of hydrographic surveys by the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey. Despite its age, this map is still a valuable aid to studies along many parts of offshore California. The second publication, a collaboration between the California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG, now the California Geological Survey) and the U.S. Geological Survey, consists of seven map sheets that portray at a scale of 1:250,000 details of local geology among other geologic-related information for the continental margin (see Kennedy and others, 1987). The sheets that cover the offshore north of San Francisco have very little geologic detail, while those south of San Francisco have much greater detail. This distribution mainly reflects the focus, intensity, and availability of offshore study by different institutions. Also, the CDMG-USGS map series does not display the mapping of Welday and Williams (1975), therefore, investigators should consult both sets of maps when studying all or part of offshore California. The digitized version of the CDMG-USGS map series can be downloaded from the Seafloor Mapping Lab Website at California State University, Monterey Bay (http://seafloor.csumb.edu/).

In addition to the statewide maps discussed above, the California Geological Survey (CGS) and U.S. Geological Survey have published or are nearing publication of several regional geologic maps at a scale of 1:100,000 that include offshore areas. Some of these have newly compiled offshore geologic data, others do not. A few examples include the following quadrangles, from north to south: Monterey (CGS – published, new offshore data), Long Beach (CGS – in preparation, some new offshore data), and Oceanside (CGS – in preparation, no new offshore data).

Maps of surficial geology along portions of the coast are presented in Howard (1974), but we were unable to obtain and evaluate this report at the time of the CSMW study.

At local levels, various institutions and agencies have conducted detailed ocean floor surveys and mapping. These studies have been mainly in the Monterey Bay-San Francisco area in northern California and at several localities along the Southern California Bight, which extends from Point Conception to the Mexico border and

includes the Channel Islands. In recent years, seafloor mapping in California has focused on benthic habitats. Much of this work has used multibeam mapping systems to produce "backscatter" images that display seafloor properties such as areas of mud and bedrock (e.g., Gardner and Dartnell, 2002). Although generally not termed "geologic" mapping, these activities have collected information on the geologic character of the seafloor through their qualitative descriptions of materials as "sand," "mud," and "bedrock." The U.S. Geological Survey, Moss Landing Marine Laboratory, Seafloor Mapping Lab, and Scripps Institution of Oceanography as well as private companies are some of the groups that have conducted this type of work in California. Examples of benthic habitat mapping for the nearshore zone of San Diego County can be viewed or downloaded on-line at <a href="http://sccoos.ucsd.edu/nearshore/">http://sccoos.ucsd.edu/nearshore/</a>. The U.S. Geological Survey has published several reports on its offshore mapping in the Monterey Bay-San Francisco and southern California regions. Several are listed in the accompanying bibliography (e.g., Wong and Eittreim, 2001; Gardner and Dartnell, 2002).

## DISTRIBUTION OF SEAFLOOR MATERIALS ALONG THE COAST

The geologically active and diverse interior coast of California has profoundly influenced the geologic character of the adjacent seafloor. The high topographic relief, numerous watersheds that drain into the ocean, and the great variety of rock types all have contributed to the many types and complex distribution of materials that make up the coastal seafloor from Oregon to Mexico. This diversity is apparent from the geologic maps of Welday and Williams (1975) and the CDMG-USGS continental margin series.

Documentation of seafloor materials along the coast is available for many local areas. Again, we emphasize that this information was most commonly collected from the Monterey Bay-San Francisco region and the segment of coast from Santa Barbara County to San Diego County. Except for the semi-reconnaissance work of Welday and Williams (1975), there has been no attempt to consistently map in detail the distribution of offshore geologic materials from Oregon to Mexico. This situation is more a result of insufficient resources (funds and time) rather than lack of interest. Correspondingly, the documentation of details has been mostly limited to local projects conducted through government and academic groups and, in some cases, private industry. Government reports and data are generally produced by agencies such as the U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Products from the academic community are typically in the form of theses and dissertations, and papers in technical journals. Studies by private industry typically are prepared as consulting reports to clients (public and private). Examples of some of these categories are presented in the accompanying bibliography.

Mapping of seafloor materials along the California coast has been greatly aided by collection of samples. These include surficial sediment and rock and shallow cores. The U.S. Geological Survey maintains a Website (http://coastalmap.marine.usgs.gov/regional/contusa/westcoast/usSEABED/) that

catalogs offshore sample sites and associated data as part of a national database; the

data can be viewed on-line through a map server. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has data from numerous vibracore samples taken to assess potential borrow sites for beach replenishment/nourishment. NOAA maintains a Website (<a href="http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/mggd.html">http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/mggd.html</a>) that can be visited to obtain digitized seabottom observations collected during hydrographic surveys conducted between 1851 and 1965 as well as offshore geophysical and geological data. Academic institutions also have bottom sample and core data, some of which have been published. Examples include data collected by the University of Southern California in the Southern California Bight and by the Hydraulic Engineering Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley, from various coastal localities. Still other data are available in disparate, sometimes obscure, published and unpublished documents.

Together, the technical reports and sets of data portray a pattern of distributed materials that reflect such things as source areas, geologic structure, variations in dynamics of transportation, energy conditions and geomorphology of the depositional areas, and variations of all of these factors with time. For example, deposits of sand are common in the nearshore regions of the state and where rivers have discharged material at their mouths (Welday and Williams, 1975). Mud belts are concentrated farther away from the shoreline or in nearshore areas where the energy of waves and currents are less because of protective coastal settings (e.g., Monterey Bay). Bedrock areas are often nearshore extensions of onshore features or where either relief is positive or current patterns do not favor deposition of sediment. Many of the sand deposits farther offshore are probably paleo-beaches, which originated when the shoreline was much farther west than today; since the last ice age the shoreline has migrated eastward from these locations as sea level has risen.

Finally, the techniques of mapping seafloor materials off the coast of California are evolving. Traditional mapping techniques (e.g., Welday and Williams, 1975) emphasize manual interpretation and drawing of map-unit boundaries based on data from sampling and/or backscattering properties of seafloor materials. Currently, there are attempts to map the boundaries of materials based on image-processing techniques (e.g., classification), which use the same sorts of datasets as the manual approaches. An example is the work in progress by the U.S. Geological Survey on the San Pedro shelf in southern California (Peter Dartnell, U.S. Geological Survey, personal communication, 2003).

### POTENTIAL OFFSHORE SOURCES OF SAND

Historically, the sources of sand for beach replenishment/nourishment along the coast of California have predominantly been provided from non-offshore locations (see column labeled "fill source/site" in Table 2; modified from Coyne, 2000). Included among these are inland sources as well as coastline sources, which have been related to such activities as harbor construction and channel maintenance or by-passing and backpassing operations. Interest in and use of offshore sand resources has generally occurred more recently in California.

Largely because of the abundant contributions from inland source areas and the prevailing southward-directed littoral drift along the entire coast, deposits of sand are prevalent in the offshore of California. Welday and Williams (1975) show numerous linear belts of sand that are dominantly fine-grained, with local areas that are medium-to coarse-grained as well. It is important to recognize, however, that these observations are for the seafloor surface only. Evaluation of sand deposits for potential beach replenishment/nourishment must also consider thickness of the deposits, which may or may not be known for any given location along the coast. To address this issue, Martindale and Hess (1979) and Luken and Hess (1979) used assumed thicknesses to calculate estimated volumes of sand and gravel deposits along the entire coast. The deposits they used for calculation were largely taken from the individual bottom-type areas shown on the maps of Welday and Williams (1975) and Howard (1974).

Because of the preponderance of historic beach replenishment/nourishment projects there, nearly all regional and local exploration and evaluation of offshore sand deposits have occurred in southern California from Santa Barbara County to the Mexico border. Also, because of limitations on dredging (cost, technology), most of this work has been done in shallow water close to shore. Some offshore borrow sites are used more than once because the excavations may be re-filled by natural sedimentation. Consequently, virgin borrow areas are not necessarily required for every episode of replenishment/nourishment, which lessens the overall need for their exploration and evaluation.

Various studies have identified many local offshore sand deposits in southern California that could serve as borrow sites for replenishment/nourishment. A list of selected sites is presented in Table 4. This list is not comprehensive, but gives an idea of the distribution and volumes of the deposits. Details of exploration, sampling, and analytical results for the deposits can be found in published and unpublished technical reports. The report by Osborne and others (1983) and many internal reports by the Geotechnical Branches of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (e.g., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1989; 1995; 2002) are good examples of detailed study of individual deposits by use of vibracore data.

### **CGS RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CSMW**

- Unless already accomplished, digitize and attribute the map of Welday and Williams (1975) for inclusion in the GIS of the CSMW Master Plan. Research files of the California Geological Survey to determine if the original 1:125,000-scale geology worksheets used to prepare the map are still available; these could be used for digitizing. Despite its age, this map is still a valuable statewide reference.
- Unless already accomplished, digitize and attribute the maps of Martindale and Hess (1979) and Luken and Hess (1979) for inclusion in the GIS of the CSMW

Master Plan. Original files for these reports may still be available in archives of the U.S. Geological Survey. This GIS product would be a companion layer to that for the Welday and Williams (1975) map discussed above.

 Unless already accomplished, digitize and attribute the maps associated with detailed studies of local sand deposits for inclusion in the GIS of the CSMW Master Plan. Examples of such reports would be those by Osborne and others (1983) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1989).

### **CSMW TASK FOUR**

# Bibliography

#### GENERAL

Burk, C.A. and Drake, C.L., 1974, The geology of continental margins: New York, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1009 p.

Cronan, D.S., 2000, Handbook of marine mineral deposits: Marine science series: Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 406 p.

Groat, C., Banino, G., Gardner, C., Magnuson, G., Rusanowski, P., and Weaver, K., 1993, U.S. outer continental shelf sand and gravel resources: OCS Policy Committee's Subcommittee on OCS Sand and Gravel Resources, Final Report, 43 p.

Hartgen, C., and Rowland, J., 2000, State/federal cooperatives: evaluating continental shelf sand deposits for use in coastal public works: World Dredging - Mining and Construction, v. 36, no. 8, p. 8 - 9, 20 - 22, 28.

Hartgen, C., and Rowland, J., 2001, Offshore sand for coastal protection and beach restoration: World Dredging, Mining and Construction, v. 37, no. 2, p. 6 - 7, 14.

Holser, A.F., Rowland, R.W., and Goud, M.R., 1982, A compilation of subsea energy and mineral resources of the United States including its possessions and trust territory of the Pacific Islands, U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1360, scale 1:20,000,000.

Knight, R.J., and McLean, J.R., editors, 1986, Shelf sands and sandstones, Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoir II, .............p.

Lockwood, M. and McGregor, B.A., 1987, Proceedings of the 1987 Exclusive Economic Zone symposium on mapping and research: Planning for the next 10 years: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1018, 175 p.

Luepke, G., 1985, Economic analysis of heavy minerals in sediments: Benchmark papers in geology: New York, NY, Van Nostrand Reinhold, v. 86, 321 p.

Marcus, L., and Bobrowsky, P.T., eds., 1998, Aggregate Resources; A Global Perspective: Rotterdam, Netherlands, A.A. Balkema, 470 p.

McKelvey, V.E., 1968, Mineral potential of the submerged parts of the U.S.: Ocean Industry, v. 3, no. 9, p. 37 - 43.

McKelvey, V.E., 1986, Subsea mineral resources U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1689-A, 106 p.

Mielke, J.E., 1987, Hard minerals in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone: resource assessment and expectations: Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Ocean Assessment Division, 1990, Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program; comprehensive bibliography: U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Ocean Assessment Division Report MMS 90-0043, 648 p.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Minerals Management Service, 1992, Marine minerals bibliography and geochemical database: National Geophysical Data Center, on-line database at <a href="http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/geology/mmdb.html">http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/geology/mmdb.html</a>

Oele, E., 1978, Sand and gravel from shallow seas: Geology and Mining, v. 57, no. 1, p. 45 - 54.

Office of Technology Assessment, 1987, Marine minerals: exploring our new ocean frontier: Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office, 349 p.

Orr, R. and Rowland, J., 2003, 2003 continental shelf sand activities of the Minerals Management Service, U.S. Department of the Interior: World Dredging – Mining and Construction, v. 39, no. 8, 6-12.

Osborne, R.H., Ahlschwede, K.A., Broadhead, S.D., Cho, K., Feffer, J.R., Lee, A.C., Liu, J., Magnusen, C., Murrillo de Nava, J.M., Robinson, R.A., Yeh, C.-C., and Lu, Y., 1994, The continental shelf; a source for naturally-delivered beach sand, *in* International Conference on the Role of the Large Scale Experiments in Coastal Research, Coastal Dynamics '94, Barcelona, Spain, American Society of Civil Engineers, p. 335-349.

Smith, J.B., 1995, Literature review on the geologic aspects of inner shelf cross-shore sediment transport: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Coastal Engineering Research Center Technical Report WES/MS/CERC-95-3, 164 p.

Smith, J.D., 1969, Geomorphology of a sand ridge: Journal of Geology, v. 77, no. 1, p. 39-55.

Stanley, D.J. and Swift, D.J.P., eds., 1976, Marine sediment transport and environmental management: New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 602 P.

Stride, A.H., 1982, Offshore tidal sands: processes and deposits: London, UK, Chapman and Hall, 222 p.

Swift, D.J.P., 1974, Continental shelf sedimentation, *in* Burk, C.A. and Drake, C.L, editors, The geology of continental margins: Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, p. 117-135.

Swift, D.J.P., and Field, M.E., 1981, Evolution of a classic sand ridge field: Maryland sector, North American inner shelf: Sedimentology, v. 28, no. 4, p. 461-482.

U.S. Bureau of Mines, 1987, An economic reconnaissance of selected heavy mineral placer deposits in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone: Office of the Assistant Director-Mineral Data Analysis, Bureau of Mines, Open-File Report 4-87, 112 p.

Williams, S.J., 1986, Sand and gravel deposits within the United States Exclusive Economic Zone: resource assessment and uses, *in* Annual Offshore Technology Conference, 18th, p. 377 - 386.

Williams, S.J., Reid, J.M., and Manheim, F.T., 2003, A bibliography of selected references to U.S. marine sand and gravel mineral resources: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03-300, 67 p. <a href="http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2003/of03-300/">http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2003/of03-300/</a> Over 800 references on offshore sand and gravel. Most references are for East Coast and Gulf Coast locations and topics.

## **COAST OF CALIFORNIA**

American Shore & Beach Preservation Association, 1995, Shoreline preservation strategy for the San Diego region: Shore & Beach, v. 63, no. 2, p. 17-30.

Anderson, J.A., 1990, California's industrial-mineral resources: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1958.

Anima, R.J., Tait, J., Griggs, G.B., and Brown, K.M., 1993, Nearshore morphology and sedimentation using side-scanning sonar and underwater video along the Central California coast, *in* American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA, American Geophysical Union, p. 348.

Baird, B. and others, 1995, California's ocean resources: an agenda for the future: Resources Agency of California, draft report.

Cacchione, D.A., and Drake, D.E., 1990, Shelf sediments transport; an overview with applications to the Northern California continental shelf: The Sea, v. 9, Part B, p. 729-773.

California State Lands Commission, 1994, California comprehensive offshore resource study.

Cherry, J.F., 1965, Sand movement along a portion of the northern California coast: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center Technical Memorandum 14, 125 p.

Chin, J.L., and Wolf, S.C., 1988, Reconnaissance high-resolution geophysical survey of the Monterey Bay, California, inner shelf: Implications for sand resources: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-410, 33 p.

Darigo, N., 1984, Quaternary stratigraphy and sedimentation of the mainland shelf of San Diego County, California: Master's thesis, University of Southern California, 447 p.

Darigo, N.J. and Osborne, R.H., 1986, Quaternary stratigraphy and sedimentation of the inner continental shelf, San Diego County, California, *in* Knight, R.J. and McLean, J.R., editors, Shelf sands and sandstones: Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 11, p. 73-98.

Dartnell, P. and Gardner, J.V., 2004, Predicted seafloor facies of central Santa Monica Bay, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2004-1081. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2004/1081/index.html)

Drake, D.E. and others, 1985, Bottom currents and sediment transport on San Pedro Shelf, California: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, vol. 55, no. 1, p. 15-28.

Eittreim S.L. (ed.), 1997, Southern Monterey Bay continental shelf investigations; former Fort Ord restricted zone: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-0450, 113 p.

Emery, K.O., 1952, Continental shelf sediments off southern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 63, p. 1105-1108.

Emery, K.O., 1960, The sea off southern California: John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York, 366 p.

Emery, K.O. and others, 1952, Submarine geology off San Diego: Journal of Geology, v. 60, p. 511-548.

Emery, K.O. and Shepard, F.P., 1945, Lithology of seafloor off southern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 56, p. 431-478.

Evans, J.R., Dabai, G.S., and Levine, C.R., 1982, Mining and marketing sand and gravel, outer continental shelf, southern California: California Geology, v. 35, no. 12, p. 259 - 276.

- Field, M.E., 1974, Preliminary "quick look" report on offshore sand resources of southern California: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, unpublished report, 28 p.
- Field, M.E., 1992, Mapping the California continental shelf: U.S. Geological Survey Yearbook, Fiscal Year 1991, p. 6-7.
- Field, M.E., Barber, J.H., Jr., Cacchione, D.A., Drake, D.E., and Wong, F.L., 1992, Holocene sediment map of the Central California continental shelf, 1:250,000: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-0338, 1 sheet.
- Fischer, P.J., Kreutzer, P.A., Morrison, L.R., Rudat, J.A., Ticken, E.J., Webb, J.F., Woods, M.M., Berry, R.W., Henry, M.J., Hoyt, D.H., and Young, M., 1983, Study on Quaternary shelf deposits (sand and gravel) of Southern California: Submitted to State of California, Department of Boating and Waterways, Beach Erosion Control Project, FR 82-11, 66 p.
- Gardner, J.V. and Dartnell, P., 2002, Multibeam mapping of the Los Angeles, California margin: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-162. (http://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/reports/ofr02-162.html)
- Gardner, J.V., Hughes Clarke, J. E., and Mayer, L.A., 1999, Cruise report: RV Coastal Surveyor Cruise C-1-99-SC, Multibeam mapping of the Long Beach, California, continental shelf: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-360. (http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of99-360/)
- Gardner, J. V. and Mayer, L.A., 1998, Cruise report, RV Ocean Alert Cruise A2-98-SC, mapping the southern California continental margin, March 26-April 11, 1998: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-475. (<a href="http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of98-475/">http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of98-475/</a>)
- Gorsline, D.S., 1958, Geology of San Pedro and Santa Monica basins: Ph.D. dissertation, University of Southern California.
- Gorsline, D.S., 1969, Mineral composition of river, beach and shelf sands from Point Conception to the Mexican border: Geological Society of America Special Paper 121, p. 115.
- Gorsline, D.S., 1992, The geological setting of Santa Monica and San Pedro basin, California continental borderland, *in* Small, L.F., editor, California basin studies: Physical, geological, chemical and biological attributes: Progress in Oceanography, v. 30, no. 1-4, p. 1-36.
- Gorsline, D.S. and Emery, K.O., 1959, Turbidity currents in San Pedro and Santa Monica basins: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 70, p.279-280.

Gorsline, D.S. and Grant. D.J., 1972, Sediment textural patterns on San Pedro shelf, California (1951-1971), Reworking and transport by waves and currents, *in* Shelf sediment transport – Process and pattern: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, p. 575-600.

Greene, H.G. and others, 1975, Preliminary report on the environmental geology of selected areas of the southern California continental borderland: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 75-596, 70 p.

Henyey, T., and Osborne, R., 1975, Offshore sand and gravel resources in California, Sea Grant Institutional Program Annual Report 1974-75, University of Southern California, Institute for Marine and Coastal Studies, p. 20 - 22.

Henyey, T., and Osborne, R., 1976, Offshore sand and gravel resources in California, Sea Grant Institutional Program Annual Report 1975-76, University of Southern California, Institute for Marine and Coastal Studies, p. 12-13.

Howard, C.L., 1974, Marine geology study – California undersea aqueduct report: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Appendix II, 53 p.

Inman, D.L., 1950, Submarine topography and sedimentation in the vicinity of Mugu submarine canyon, California: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board Technical Memorandum 19, 42 p.

Karl, H.A. and others, 1980, Erosion and transport of sediments and pollutants in the benthic boundary layer on the San Pedro shelf, southern California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-386, 100 p.

Kendall, T.R., Vick, J.C., and Forsman, L.M., 1991, Sand as a resource; managing and mining the Northern California coast,, *in* Domurat, G.W., and Wakeman, T.H., eds., The California coastal zone experience: New York, NY, Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., p. 278-297.

Kennedy, M.P., Greene, H.G., and Clarke, S.H., 1987, Geology of the continental margin: California Division of Mines and Geology, Bulletin 207, 110 p. (companion to the California Continental Margin Geologic Map Series (7 areas), Greene, H.G. and Kennedy, M.P., editors, published by California Division of Mines and Geology in 1986-1989).

Kulm, L.D., Peterson, C.D., and Stribling, M.C., 1986, Inventory of heavy minerals and metals southern Washington, Oregon, and northern California continental shelf and coastal region: State of Oregon, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Open-File Report 0-86-10, 111 p.

Lu, Y., and Osborne, R.H., 1993, Sources for Quaternary sand and the effects of selective transport on grain-shape composition, Santa Monica Bay, California [abs.]: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 25, no. 6, p. 274.

Luepke, G., 1989, Marine placer potential on the west coast of the United States from California to Washington: Marine Mining, v. 8, no. 2, p. 173 - 183.

Luepke, G., 1994, Variations in titanium and chromium concentrations in magnetite separates from beach and offshore separates, San Francisco and San Mateo counties, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-15, 6 p.

Luken, M.D., and Hess, H.D., 1979, Sand, gravel and shell deposits of the Southern California Borderland: OCS Mining Policy Phase II Task Force, Program Feasibility Study, OCS Hard Minerals Leasing (Appendix 10): U.S. Geological Survey unpublished report, 69 p.

Magoon, O.T., Haugen, J.C., and Sloan, R.L., 1972, Coastal sand mining in northern California, U.S.A., *in* Coastal Engineering Conference, 13th, 1972, American Society of Civil Engineers, p. 1571 - 1597.

Martindale, S.G., and Hess, H.D., 1979, Resource assessment; sand, gravel and shell deposits on the continental shelf of Northern and Central California: OCS Mining Policy Phase II Task Force, Program Feasibility Study, OCS Hard Minerals Leasing (Appendix 9): U.S. Geological Survey unpublished report), 39 p.

McClain, C.E., 1992, Resource potential of offshore placer deposits, 1991 Exclusive Economic Zone symposium on mapping and research; working together in the Pacific EEZ: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1052, p. 71.

Mokhtari-Saghafi, M., and Osborne, R.H., 1980a, Commercial profitability of offshore sand and gravel mining in southern California: an analysis for new entries, *in* An International Forum on Ocean Engineering in the 80's, Oceans '80, Seattle, WA, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, p. 55-59.

Mokhtari-Saghafi, M., and Osborne, R.H., 1980b, An economic appraisal of mining offshore sand and gravel deposits: Southern California University Sea Grant Program Technical Report USC-SG-TR-80-01, 47 p.

Moore, D.B., 1965, Recent coastal sediments, Double Point to Point San Pedro, California: M.A. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 86 p.

Nardin, T.R., 1976, Late Cenozoic history of the Santa Monica Bay area, California: M.S. thesis, University of Southern California, 189 p.

Noble Consultants, 1989, Coastal sand management plan, Santa Barbara/Ventura County coastline: Main Report, Prepared for Beach Erosion Authority for Control Operations and Nourishment (BEACON), 186 p.

Ocean Surveys, 1981, Final report, contract to CERC, coring survey, offshore coast of southern California: Ocean Surveys, Inc. Contract No. DACW72-80-R-0026, 16 p.

Orzech, K. and others, 2001, Core descriptions, core photographs, physical property logs and surface textural data of sediment cores recovered from the continental shelf of the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary during the research cruises M-1-95-MB, P-2-95-MB, and P-1-97-MB: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-107, 21 p. (http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of01-107)

Osborne, R.H. and others, 1980a, Quaternary stratigraphy and depositional environments, Santa Monica Bay, southern California, *in* Field, M.E. and others, editors, Quaternary depositional environments of the Pacific coast: Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Pacific Section, Coastal Paleogeography Symposium 4, p. 143-156.

Osborne, R.H. and others, 1980b, Occurrence and sedimentological characteristics of offshore sand and gravel bodies in Santa Monica and San Pedro Bays, and their suitability as a source of material for beach nourishment and construction aggregate: University of Southern California, Sea Grant Publication.

Osborne, R.H. and others, 1979, Potential sand and gravel resources in Santa Monica and San Pedro Bays, southern California: Proceedings of Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Oceans '79, p. 590-597.

Osborne, R.H., Darigo, N., and Scheidemann, R.C., Jr., 1983, Report of the potential offshore sand and gravel resources of the inner continental shelf of Southern California: California Department of Boating and Waterways, 302 p.

Osborne, R.H., Scheidemann, R.C., Jr., Nardin, T.R., and Harper, A.S., 1980, Quaternary stratigraphy and depositional environments, Santa Monica Bay, southern California, *in* Quaternary Depositional Environments of the Pacific Coast Paleography Symposium, 4th, Bakersfield, CA, Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 143-156.

Osborne, R.H., Scheidemann, R.C., Jr., Nardin, T.R., Harper, A.S., Brodersen, K.L., Kabakoff, J., and Waldron, J.M., 1979, Potential sand and gravel resources in Santa Monica and San Pedro Bays: southern California, *in* Oceans '79 Annual Combined Conference, San Diego, CA, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and The Marine Technology Society, p. 590 - 597.

Osborne, R.H., and Yeh, C.-C., 1991, Fourier grain-shape analysis of coastal and inner continental-shelf sand samples; Oceanside littoral cell, southern Orange and San Diego counties, Southern California, *in* Osborne, R.H., ed., From shoreline to abyss; contributions in marine geology in honor of Francis Parker Shepard: Tulsa, OK, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists (Society for Sedimentary Geology), p. 51-66.

Peterson, 1988, Elemental content of heavy-mineral concentrations on the continental shelf off Oregon and northern California: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Report O-88-04, 9 p.

Rutan, C., 1981, Isopach map: sand sized materials sand inventory study offshore Mission Beach to Leucadia Southern California: scale 1:24,000. (*Uncertain reference*)

Rutan, C., 1981, Seafloor texture and grain size contours sand inventory study offshore San Diego Southern California: scale 1:24,000. (*Uncertain reference*)

Rutan, C., 1981, Isopach map: sand sized materials sand inventory study offshore Leucadia to Oceanside southern California: scale 1:24,000. (*Uncertain reference*)

San Diego Association of Governments, 1993, Shoreline preservation strategy for the San Diego region: San Diego Association of Governments, 43 p.

San Diego Association of Governments, 1995, Shoreline preservation strategy for the San Diego region: Shore & Beach, v. 63, no. 2, p. 17-30.

Savula, N.A., 1978, Light mineral petrology of sediments from Santa Monica and San Pedro bays, California continental borderland: M.S. thesis, University of Southern California.

Scholl, D.W., Gantz, A., and Vedder, J.G., 1987, Geology and resource potential of the continental margin of western North America and adjacent ocean basins - Beaufort Sea to Baja California: Earth science series: Houston, TX, Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral Resources, 7 v., v. 6, 799 p.

Sea Surveyor, Incorporated, 1999, San Diego regional beach sand project:: Offshore sand investigations: Final Report, Prepared for San Diego Association of Governments, 65 p.

Shepard, F.P. and Inman, D.L., 1951, Sand movement on the shallow inter-canyon shelf at La Jolla, California: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board Technical Memorandum 26, 29 p.

Storlazzi, C.D., and Field, M.E., 2000, Sediment distribution and transport along a rocky, embayed coast; Monterey Peninsula and Carmel Bay, California: Marine Geology, v. 170, no. 3-4, p. 289-316.

Steinberger, A., Stein, E. and Schiff, K.C., 2003, Characteristics of dredged material disposal to the Southern California Bight between 1991 and 1997, *in* Weisberg, S.B. and Elmore, D., editors, Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Biennial Report 2001-2002: Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Authority, 419 p.

- Tait, J., Anima, R.J., and Griggs, G.B., 1992, Shoreface storage and transport of littoral sediments along the central California coast, from the American Geophysical Union 1992 Fall Meeting: Eos, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 73, no. 43, Suppl., p. 302.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1973, High-resolution geophysical and vibrating sampling project offshore southern California: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Final Report Contract DACW 09-73-C-0098, 7 p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1989, San Gabriel River to Newport Beach Beach replenishment at Surfside-Sunset Beach: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Geotechnical Report, 5 p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1995, San Gabriel River to Newport Beach Beach replenishment at Surfside-Sunset Beach: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Geotechnical Report, 8 p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2002, Silver Strand shoreline, Imperial Beach, California: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, General Evaluation Report, Appendix A, Geotechnical Report.
- U.S. Bureau of Mines, 1987, An economic reconnaissance of selected sand and gravel deposits in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone: Office of the Assistant Director--Mineral Data Analysis, Bureau of Mines, Open-File Report 3-87, 113 p.

University of Southern California, and Institute for Marine and Coastal Studies, 1980, An economic appraisal of mining offshore sand and gravel deposits: University of Southern California Sea Grant Program Technical Report, 47 p.

Vedder, J.G., 1990, Maps of California continental borderland showing compositions and ages of bottom samples acquired between 1968 and 1979: U.S. Geological Survey Map MF-2122, scale 1:250,000.

Welday, E.E. and Williams, J.W., 1975, Offshore surficial geology of California: California Division of Mines and Geology Map Sheet 26, scale 1:500,000.

Wilde, P., Lee, J., Yancey, T., and Glogoczowski, M., 1973, Recent sediments of the central California continental shelf, Pillar Point to Pigeon Point, Part C. interpretation and summary of results: University of California Hydraulic Engineering Laboratory Report 2-38, 83 p.

Wong, F.L., 1995, Sediment distribution on a stream-dominated continental margin, Northern California; implications from heavy-mineral studies: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-0614, 21 p.

Wong, F.L., 1996, Heavy mineralogy of effluent-affected sediment and other deposits, Palos Verdes shelf, Southern California [abs.]: EOS, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 77, no. 3, Suppl., p. 50.

Wong, F.L., 2001, Heavy minerals from the Palos Verdes margin, southern California: Data and factor analysis: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-153, 31 p. (http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of01-153)

Wong, F.L. and Eittreim, S.L., 2001, Continental shelf GIS for the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-179. (http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/open-file/of01-179/)

Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1979, Southern California seismic survey final report on contract no. DACW72-79-C-0032: Woodward-Clyde Consultants for the Department of the Army Coastal Engineering Research Center Corps of Engineers Final Report on Contract No. DACW72-79-C-0032, Project No. 41205, 14 p.